IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYAN AT CHANDIGARH

R.S.A. No. 480 of 2005(O&M)
Date of decision: January 22,2007

Gurnam Singh V. Gram Panchayat and others

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL

Present: Shri Balbir Singh Jaswal,Advocate, for the appellant.
Shri Saravjit Singh, Advocate for respondents No.1 and 2.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral)

The two courts below have rejected the claim of the plaintiff-appellant for permanent injunction on the ground that he was not shown to be in possession of the suit property.

It is further apparent from the perusal of the record that originally the father of the plaintiff had been granted the lease of the suit land but in subsequent auction proceedings, the land in question was auctioned in favour of defendant No.4 in the year 2000 for a sum of Rs.14,100/-.

The plaintiff has not been able to establish any right, title or interest in the suit property to claim possession thereof.

No question of law, much less any substantial question of law, arises in the present appeal.

Dismissed.

January 22,2007 (Viney Mittal)
sks Judge